Privacy & Confidentiality in Special Collections | Guidelines for Appraisal, Processing, and Access

Laws

- 1974 Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (education records)
- 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA) (protected health information/records)
- 2002 Standard for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information ("Privacy Rule") | revises HIPPA
- 2009 HITECH Act (privacy and security in electronic transmission of health records) | revises HIPPA
- Missouri law on privacy and confidentiality (Mo. Rev. Stat. & court decisions)

GOAL

Develop and implement procedures to address sensitive, private, or confidential materials in Archives.

- > Shared responsibility of donors, staff, and users.
- > Set restrictions at time of donation/processing.
- > Allow general access, shift measure of responsibility to the user, and develop take-down / redaction policy.
- > Take into account information context, user identity, subject relationships, and institutional definitions
- May entail physical, technology, and administrative means (including training where necessary), that are "reasonable and appropriate to the circumstances in which the records are maintained."

OPTIONS

- \leftarrow Redact
- **←De-identify**
- $\leftarrow \textbf{Restrict}$
- ←Individual authorization (informed consent)

Folder Series Item	
--------------------	--

[FERPA]

Prohibits disclosure of student identifying information.

Applies to "education records" that are directly related to a student.

Applies to all formats (paper, film, electronic, etc.).

Applies to current and former students.

Students may opt-out of directory information disclosure (decision persists post-graduation).

Does NOT apply to "directory information."

Does NOT prescribe specific methods to prevent unauthorized access/ disclosure.

Does NOT apply to disclosure to school officials with legitimate educational interests.

Does NOT apply to student information on independent, external platforms and services (e.g. social media sites, personal webpage, blogs, etc.).

NOT intended to interfere with ordinary pedagogical practice.

LOCAL POLICY CHOICE: Do NOT apply to records created \geq 75 years ago (so OK if before 1943). If records from grade, elementary, or secondary school connected to WU, then \geq 95 years.

Sample materials <see example of what to look for in each>

Class rosters with names and grades

Class assignments with grades and/or professor comments

Recommendation letters

Academic probation letters

Academic excellence letters

Physical education class with students body measurements and performance notes

EDUCATION RECORDS (require review)

Transcripts

Exams

Papers

Recommendation letters

Faculty and staff email to/from/about a student.

DIRECTORY INFO (ok to disclose)

Full name

Home and local address

Telephone #

Email

Photographic, video or electronic image (picture)

Academic division and major field of study

Dates of attendance

Previous schools attended,

Graduation dates and degrees received at WU

Class (affiliated degree year)

Academic awards

Participation in intercollegiate activities

Height and weight (NCAA DIII athletes only)

Student info on "independent, external" platforms. Disclosure to "school officials" w/ legitimate educational interests.

Recommendations:

[HIPPA]

PHI: any individually identifiable health information in any format (oral, electronic, paper, etc.).

"Health information" means relating to any past, present, or future physical or mental health condition or to healthcare or to payment for healthcare.

Information is identifiable if it provides a "reasonable basis" to identify a person.

HITECH excludes from PHI definition the information of persons who have been deceased more than 50 years (so OK if d. \leftarrow 1968).

Other uses and disclosures, including scholarly and historical research uses, require individual patient authorization or strict compliance with HIPPA's "safe harbor" provisions. Fees for copies should include only cost recovery.

LOCAL POLICY CHOICE: Does WU self-designate whether it is a covered entity, a hybrid entity, business associate, or subcontractor. Our understanding is that WU is "hybrid entity." An archives that is a non-covered part of a hybrid entity and that holds PHI from the covered function must comply with the Privacy Rule

LOCAL POLICY CHOICE: "Best practice date" (time by which it can be assumed that patients have been dead > 50 years) :: 135 years?

REMOVE

Names

Geographic subdivisions smaller than a state

Phone/Fax #

Email address

SSN

Medical record #

Health plan beneficiary #

Account #

Certificate/license #

Biometric identifiers

Full-face photographic images

Any unique, identifying number or characteristic

ACCEPTABLE RISK

Photographs

General waiting room scenes without diagnosis Vaccination and preventive medicine

Healthy child exams

Non-specific use of equipment (e.g. glasses,

wheelchair)

Previously published images (consider context of original publication, sensitive of the information, and potential harm in republication)

Sample materials <see example of what to look for in each>

Billing statements from medical related establishments including doctor's offices and insurance companies Pharmacy prescription information

Doctor's notes for excuse for work

Doctor's diagnosis paperwork

Notes from family about relatives' medical condition

Recommendations:

[Defamation]

Defamation claims for damages typically involve injury to professional standing. Words and accusations (libel is written; slander is oral) that may injure a person's reputation are usually classified into five major categories: (1) committing a crime, (2) acting immoral or unethically, (3) associating with unsavory people or otherwise acting disgracefully or despicably, (4) demonstrating financial irresponsibility, and (5) demonstrating professional incompetence.

List (illustrative but non-exhaustive) of "red flag" words and expressions raising defamation concerns:

Addict Defaulter Kept woman Adulteration of Divorced Ku Klux Klan Double crossed Mafia products Adultery Manipulate Drug abuser **AIDS** Drunkard Mental illness Alcoholic Ex-convict Mobster Atheist Fawning sycophant Moral delinquency Fraud Bad moral character Mouthpiece Bankrupt Gambling den Nazi **Bigamist** Gangster Neo-nazi Blacklisted Gay Paramour Blackmail Graft **Peeping Tom** Booze-hound Herpes Perjurer **Bribery** Hit-man **Plagiarist Brothel** Hypocrite **Prostitute Buys votes** Rape/rapist Illegitimate Cheats Illicit relation Scan Child Abuse Incompetent Scoundrel Collusion Slacker Infidelity Informer Con artist Spy Confidence man Insider trading Suicide Corruption Intemperate Thief Coward Intimate Unethical Intolerance Unprofessional Crook Deadbeat Jekyll-Hyde personality Unsound mind

Or other words or expressions imputing:

- A loathsome disease:
- A crime, or words falsely charging arrest, or indictment for or confession or conviction of a crime;
- Anti-Semitism or other religious, racial or ethnic intolerance;
- Connivance or association with criminals;
- Financial embarrassment (or implication of insolvency);
- Lying;
- Membership in an organization which may be in disrepute at any given period of time;
- Poverty or squalor;
- Unwillingness to pay a debt.

[PRIVACY]

Individuals may have actionable claims under state law if publication or disclosure of material in which they are identifiable invade their rights of privacy. Even limited distribution of material (e.g. making an interview transcript available to researchers, including it in public finding aid) can be enough to establish an archives as a re-publisher. The central issue

<intrusion upon seclusion>
<public disclosure of private facts>
<misappropriation of a person's identity>

Photographs

CONCERNS

Depictions of minors
Photos from inside a home
Lack of venue/subject consent
Promotion/endorsement of product
Commercially valuable identity

ACCEPTABLE

Matters of public concern Consent (i.e. invitation of owner) Prior publication

CONTEXT @ time of photo is key

Correspondence

Redact personal addresses, phone numbers, and emails after 1950, unless the individuals are deceased. Do NOT redact addresses of public officials, public institutions, businesses and organizations.

Financial documents

Canceled checks, bank statements, credit card statements, utility bills, other bills, etc. Bank signature card

Audit report

Income tax returns

Personal financial statement (declaring assets and liabilities)

Recommendations: Close until death. Permit individual-researcher access on ad hoc basis.

Organizational records

Tenure files

Letters from third-parties commenting on someone else's work

Meeting minutes

Military files/reports

Recommendations: If from donor and about donor, then no restriction.

Experimental data and studies

Legal Records

Work Product Privileged Records - correspondence, memoranda, drafts of briefs prepared in anticipation of litigation, written statements of witnesses, and notes of mental impressions or personal recollections prepared or formed by an attorney.

Attorney-Client Privileged Records - any document reflecting an exchange with a client or a potential client (including but not limited to written correspondence, memoranda to the file, notes, or any other report of communication to or from a client or potential client) made for the purpose of furnishing or obtaining professional legal advice and assistance.

CONCERNS

Law firm letterhead Summarized communication of a lawyer Information conveyed in a confidential manner

PROCESS

Consideration for access by others will be given when a written request is presented to the University Archivist and Special Collections Curators. Both the initial request and the review of the University Archivist and Special Collections Curators' decision must be accompanied by sufficient information as to the intended uses of the records. The University may impose whatever conditions on the use of the records it deems necessary to preserve the confidentiality of the information contained in such records.

RESOURCES

- o Behrnd-Klodt, M. L., & Prom, C. J. (2015). Rights in the digital era. Chicago: Society of American Archivists.
- o Neuenschwander, J. A. (2009). A guide to oral history and the law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- o Sanford, B. W. (2011). Libel and privacy. Austin: Wolters Kluwer Law & Business.
- o Evans Letocha, P. (2009). *Privacy Issues for Photographs/Film of Health and Medicine*. Society of American Archivists.
- o Bingo, S. (2011). *Of Provenance and Privacy: Using Contextual Integrity to Define Third-Party Privacy*. The American Archivist, 74(2), 506-521. doi:10.17723/aarc.74.2.55132839256116n4.
- o Kaplan, D. (1996). *The Stanley Milgram Papers: A Case Study on Appraisal of and Access to Confidential Data Files*. The American Archivist, 59(3), 288-297. doi:10.17723/aarc.59.3.k3245057x1902078.
- Wiener, J. A., & Gilliland, A. T. (2011). Balancing between two goods: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and ethical compliancy considerations for privacy-sensitive materials in health sciences archival and historical special collections. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 99(1), 15-22. doi:10.3163/1536-5050.99.1.005

